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## SALMON LIFE HISTORY DIVERSITY



ADULT RETURN TIMING


Data sources: Vogel and Marine, 1991; Hallock, 1983; CDFG, 1993


## Upwelling is particularly variable off the CA coast and likely

 to become even less predictable with climate change. A narrower range of ocean arrival dates increases risk of match-mismatch events $\rightarrow$ volatile recruitment.
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## SALMON IN CALIFORNIA



Can we replace 'lost production' AND support natural populations using hatcheries?


Typically ~95\% of salmon return to their natal stream resulting in local adaptation \& higher numbers of offspring. High levels of hatchery straying can hinder local adaptation, introduce maladapted genes, and reduce broodstock size.
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## Study objectives

(1) Georeference all fall run Central Valley hatchery releases since 1941.
(2) Document spatiotemporal historical trends.
(3) Quantify population responses (e.g. straying) to differing management actions and environmental conditions.

## History

Eric Huber transcribed information from >200 reports (Huber \& Carlson, SFEWS 2015)

This study:

- Georeferenced all releases.
- Measured transport \& outmigration distances in ArcGIS.
- Estimated transit times \& ocean arrival days.
- Visualized data using R Shiny [baydeltalive.com/fish/hatchery-releases].


Ranse Reynolds retired Nimbus Hatchery manager - at his home in Woodland (8/5/15).

## Straying model

- Estimated straying rates for BY2006-12 releases (2008-15 returns):

1-[ $\frac{N^{*} \text { recovered in source hatchery or natal stream }}{N^{*}}$ $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ recovered anywhere in freshwater

* sampling fraction
- Modeled rate using beta regression (betareg pkg). Best model selected using multi-model inference and AICc.
- Predictors included hatchery*transport distance, release month, fish size, fish stage, run size, return age, run year, return flow, release flow, flow discrepancy (return-release flow), return temperature, DCC (N days open), mean PDO of return year, PDO discrepancy (return-release PDO).


## Spatiotemporal trends in hatchery releases



Hatchery salmon entering the ocean over a narrower spread of dates
$\rightarrow$ match-mismatch with ocean upwelling events $\rightarrow$ swings in recruitment
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Hatchery releases of California Central Valley fall-run Chinook salmon
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## Which factors drive straying behavior?

Model explained $\sim 50 \%$ of the variance in fall run hatchery fish straying rate.

Straying rates were higher when


- The fish were trucked further downstream.
- Return flows were lower.

- They returned older.
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## $\longrightarrow$ Increased straying
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## Which factors drive straying behavior?

## —n






Trucked further from their source hatchery

## Some data gaps

1. Need more carefully-designed experiments to estimate survival vs. straying rates as a function of transport distance, release age/timing, river flows, and release types (e.g. trucking vs. on-site vs. barged) and more replication (recovery data for 2008-2017 returns and CFM reports for 2010-2015 so far https://wildlife.ca.gov/Fishing/Ocean/Regulations/Salmon)
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2. Need to better understand how hatchery practices alter maturation timing (jacking rates)
3. Need to better quantify ecological, genetic, demographic and fitness effects resulting from hatchery strays and hatchery-wild interactions

- How quickly would local adaptation re-evolve if straying rates were reduced?
- How resilient are local adaptations to periodic increases in stray rates of varying frequency and/or magnitude?


## TAKE HOME MESSAGES

1. Today's hatchery portfolio less diverse than ever before -

- Fish size (almost all large smolts)
- Abundance (approx. ~30 million every year)
- Timing (almost all entering ocean in Apr-May)
- Location (more clustered in Delta \& Bay, particularly during droughts)
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2. Trucking further $\rightarrow$ increased straying, increased genetic \& demographic homogenization, loss of broodstock, increased survival advantage/numeric imbalance.




## TAKE HOME MESSAGES



## Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Ole Shelton, David Noakes, Jim Smith, Marc Provencher, Peter Westley for their comments on the manuscript. Also, Arnold Ammann, Walt Beer, Mark Clifford, Laurie Earley, Fred Feyrer, Brett Galyean, Ted Grantham, Scott Hamelberg, Tim Heyne, Paula Hoover, Rachel Johnson, Anna Kastner, Brett Kormos, Dave Krueger, William Lemley, Joe Merz, Carl Mesick, Cyril Michel, Kevin Niemela, Bob Null, Mike O'Farrell, Kevin Offill, Jim Peterson, Corey Phillis, Rhonda Reed, Ranse \& Joyce Reynolds, Edward Rible, Paco Satterthwaite, Ted Sommer, Bruce Sturrock, Lynn Takata, Mike Urkov, Judy Urrutia, Dan Webb, Michelle Workman, and Steve Zeug for advice and/or provision of data.

Funding was provided by the CDFW Ecosystem Restoration Grant, the Delta Science Fellowship Program and CDFW Water Quality, Supply and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014 (CWC §79707[g]).


## Citations and database

Huber and Carlson (2015). "Temporal Trends in Hatchery Releases of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon in California's Central Valley." San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science 13(2).

Sturrock et al. (2019) "Eight Decades of Hatchery Salmon Releases in the California Central Valley: Factors Influencing Straying and Resilience". Fisheries, 44(9), 433-444. doi:10.1002/fsh. 10267
https://baydeltalive.com/fish/hatchery-releases
Any questions or comments - please do not hesitate to get in touch: asturrock@ucdavis.edu


